COURSE OUTLINE

1. Data about the study programme

| 1.1 Higher education institution | TRANSILVANIA UNIVERSITY OF BRASOV |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.2 Faculty | LAW |
| 1.3 Department | LAW |
| 1.4 Field of study ¹⁾ | LAW MASTER |
| 1.5 Study level ²¹ | MASTER |
| 1.6 Study programme/ Qualification | LAW AND DIPLOMACY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (in english)/ MASTER in LAW AND DIPLOMACY OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (in english) |

2. Data about the course

| 2.1 Name of course 2.2 Course convenor 2.3 Seminar/ laboratory/ project convenor | | PROCEDURAL INSTRUMENTS FOR THE PROTECTION OF RIGHTS IN THE EUROPEAN UNION (PROCDREU1) Lecturer PhD Oana ŞARAMET | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------|----|--|
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | 2.4 Study year | tudy year 2 2.5 Semester 1 2.6 Evaluation type E 2.7 Cour | | 2.7 Course | Content ³⁰ | DS | |
| | | | status | Attendance type4) | DI | | | |

3. Total estimated time (hours of teaching activities per semester)

| 3.1 Number of hours per week | 3 | out of which: 3.2 lecture | 2 | 3.3 seminar/laboratory/project | 1 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------------|-------|
| 3.4 Total number of hours in the curriculum | 42 | out of which: 3.5 lecture | 28 | 3.6 seminar / laboratory/ project | 14 |
| Time allocation | | 7 | 18.000 | | hours |
| Study of textbooks, course supp | ort, bibl | iography and notes | | | 28 |
| Additional documentation in libraries, specialized electronic platforms, and field research | | | | | 56 |
| Preparation of seminars/ laboratories/ projects, homework, papers, portfolios, and essays | | | | | 43 |
| Tutorial | | | | | |
| Examinations | | | | | 2 |
| Other activities | | | | | 2 |
| 3.7 Total number of hours of st | udent a | ctivity 133 | | | |
| 3.8 Total number per semester | 6 | 175 | | | |
| | | | | | |

4. Prerequisites (if applicable)

3.9 Number of credits⁵⁾

| 4.1 curriculum-related | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4.2 competences-related | use of general legal concepts, applicable legislation, as well as relevant case law and doctrine |

7

5. Conditions (if applicable)

| 5.1 for course development | classroom equipped with blackboard/video projector |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5.2 for seminar/ laboratory/ | access to course material and legislation and case law |
| project development | classroom equipped with blackboard/video projector |

| | Cp1. in-depth knowledge of an area of specialization and within it of the theoretical, methodological and practical developments specific |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | to the program, appropriate use of specific language in communication with different professional environments |
| | L.O.1.1 to have in-depth knowledge of the specific concepts and institutions of PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O. 1.2 to describe the concepts, theories and methodologies used in the PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.1.3 define and classify the theories, paradigms and principles used in the PROCDREU1; |
| 1 | L.O.1.4 to identify the legal norms in the European legal system and the main international legal instruments in the PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.1.5 to define concepts and to analyze in depth these concepts, as well as the methods and theories used in the interpretation and |
| | comparison of institutions in European and international law; |
| | L.O.1.6 to identify sources of information in the field of law (legislation, doctrine and jurisprudence), applicable to a legal issue, including the use of legal databases |
| | Cp2 using specialized knowledge to explain and interpret new situations in broader contexts associated with the field |
| | L.O.2.1 to use specialized legal language to explain and interpret specific concepts and theories of the PROCDREU1; |
| | LO.2.2 to use concepts and theories from the legal field to explain and interpret European and international legal texts (legal norms); |
| | L.O.2.3 to explain and interpret European and international legal norms by using the knowledge acquired in the PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.2.4 to interpret the relationship between PROCDREU1 institutions and those specific to other states by using comparative and interpretative methods; |
| | L.O.2.5 to explain and interpret the sources of information in the PROCDREU1 (legislation, doctrine and case law), relating to a specific |
| | legal issue; L.0.2.6 to explain and interpret how the legal phenomenon intersects with factual situations (how a factual situation acquires legal |
| | significance) |
| | Cp3 integrated use of the conceptual and methodological apparatus, in incompletely defined situations, to solve new theoretical and |
| | practical problems |
| | L.0.3.1 to use specialized legal language in developing specific arguments in the PROCDREU1; |
| | L.0.3.2 to apply the theories, principles and concepts of PROCDREU1 in a given context; |
| | L.0.3.3 to identify the legal provisions in European and international legislation specific to the PROCOREU1, applicable in a given context; |
| | L0.3.4 to make correlations and comparisons between institutions of the European legal system and that of international law in a |
| | given context, using specific PROCDREU1 methods and techniques; |
| | L.O.3.5 to select the legal information necessary to solve a concrete problem in the in-depth research of the PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.3.6 to resolve the PROCDREU1 issue raised by a factual situation |
| | Cp4 the nuanced and pertinent use of evaluation criteria and methods to formulate value judgments and substantiate constructive decisions |
| | L.0.4.1 to use specialized legal language to evaluate the concepts, theories and methods enshrined in the PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.4.2 to analyze preliminary data, interpret them, make classifications and conceptual delimitations specific to PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.4.3 to realize the legal study and distinguish between relevant and irrelevant provisions that have an impact on resolving specific PROCDREU1 situations: |
| | L.0.4.4 to interpret and evaluate the specific methods that can be used to determine the relationship between PROCDREU1 institutions at European and international level; |
| | L.0.4.5 to critically evaluate texts from legislation, doctrine and jurisprudence, relating to a specific PROCDREU1 issue; |
| | L.O.4.6 to make the correct and reasoned selection between several solutions that can be given to a specific factual situation PROCDREU1 |
| | CpS developing professional and/or research projects using innovatively a wide range of quantitative and qualitative methods |
| | to provide the development of the development of professional projects specific to PROCORFU1; |
| | L.O.5.2 to develop professional projects using specific theories, principles and methods of PROCDREU1; |
| | L0.5.3 to develop professional projects using the European and international legislation in force specific to the PROCDREU1; |
| 19 | L0.5.4 to develop professional projects using methods of comparing European and international legislation PROCOREU1; |
| | L.O.5.5 to develop professional projects using legislation, doctrine and jurisprudence in the field of PROCDREU1; |
| | L.O.5.6 to develop a study and/or professional project starting from the legal framework of a specific factual situation PROCDREU1 |
| | Cp6 responsible and autonomous exercise of the duties specific to the legal professions |
| | L.O.6.1 to perform complex professional tasks, under conditions of autonomy and professional independence; |
| | L0.6.2 to assume roles/functions of leading the activity of professional groups or institutions; |
| 0 | L0.6.3 to control and manage their learning process, diagnosis of training needs, reflective analysis of their own professional activity |

| - | Ct1 autonomy and responsibility for performing professional tasks in teamwork conditions, limited autonomy, distribution of tasks and responsibilities and qualified assistance in achieving objectives |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | L.O.1.1 to acquire the ability to work independently, with only minimal guidance, to obtain the bibliographic, jurisprudential or legislative information necessary to carry out a specific task associated with one of the legal fields; |
| | L.O. 1.2 to have the ability to identify their own learning sources and resources; |
| | LO.1.3 to have the ability to reflect on the progress made in the learning process; |
| | LO.1.4 to learn the principles, norms and values specific to "a job well done", namely the strategies of rigorous, efficient and |
| | responsible work, punctuality and personal responsibility for the result, based on the principles, norms and values of the code of professional ethics |
| | Ct2 social interaction, which involves familiarization with the roles and responsibilities assumed in working conditions in organizations and/or institutions; graduates must have the ability |
| | L.O.2.1to correctly use the language and terminology specific to the legal field, so that they can communicate and interact with other people within teams focused on achieving common tasks; |
| | LO. 2.2 to debate the contents of monographs, courses, textbooks, case studies, etc. in the legal field, demonstrating the ability to understand and transmit the respective contents; |
| | L.0.2.3 to present arguments before an audience made up of people with different levels of training and education, so that, through the legal language used, they can be understood by other categories of people; |
| un. | L0.2.4 to demonstrate teamwork skills both through curricular activities, such as participating in joint projects, and through extra- curricular activities; |
| tence | L.O.2.5 to demonstrate the assimilation of group relationship techniques, empathic interpersonal communication skills and the assumption of specific roles within teamwork |
| be | Ct3 awareness of the need for continuous professional and scientific training and efficient use of resources and techniques for |
| EO. | continuous professional and personal development throughout life |
| alo | L.O.3.1 to acquire and use effective learning methods and techniques; |
| Transversal competences | L.O. 3.2 to develop permanent and conscious self-control skills regarding motivations for learning, in relation to their own professional and personal development goals; |
| ran | L.O.3.3 to make distinctions between data, information and knowledge and to apply techniques for managing them; |
| F | L.O.3.4 to be aware of the extrinsic and intrinsic motivations of continuous learning |

7. Course objectives (resulting from the specific competences to be acquired)

| 7.1 General course objective | the student to know and understand the basic concepts of the European procedural mechanism the student to develop the skills to correctly apply the theoretical knowledge acquired to solve practical problems and cases in the field of European procedural law the student to form and develop his/her capacity for analysis and synthesis |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 7.2 Specific objectives | the student is able to determine specific ways of valorising the subjective civil rights in justice, to identify and analyze the different means of defense under the aspect of several criteria, etc. the student is able to select and correctly apply the basic methods and principles learned in solving practical problems and cases of European procedural law the student can realize research projects on topics of European procedural law |

| 8.1 Course | Teaching methods | Number of hours | Remarks |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Structure, functioning and status of the courts of the European Union | Presentation | 2 | |
| On the types of procedures at the Court of Justice of the European Union. I. Preliminary reference procedure | ldem | 2 | |
| 3. On the types of proceedings at the Court of Justice of the European Union. II. Action for failure | Idem | 2 | |

F03.2-P57.2-01/ed.3, rev.6

| to fulfil obligations by Member States | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---|--|
| On the types of proceedings at the Court of lustice of the European Union. III. Action for annulment | ldem | 2 | |
| 5. On the types of proceedings at the Court of lustice of the European Union. IV. Action for failure to act | ldem | 2 | |
| Legal instruments establishing civil procedures at European level. General presentation | Idem | 2 | |
| Relevant measures adopted at EU level in the field of judicial cooperation | Idem | 2 | |
| Fundamental rights of parties in civil proceedings, at European level. Charter of Fundamental Rights in the EU | ldem | 2 | |
| 9. Proportionality - principle of European Union law | ldem | 2 | |
| 10. The relationship between conventional rules, European rules and national rules of civil procedure | Idem | 2 | |
| 11. The relationship between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the ECHR. Interpretation of the ECHR by the two courts | ldem | 2 | |
| Alternative dispute resolution methods, part of European policies to improve access to justice | ldem | 2 | |
| 13. Book VII of the Code of Civil Procedure – International Civil Procedure | Idem | 2 | |
| the last of the second s | Idem | 2 | |

G. Fabian, EU procedural law, Ed. Hamangiu, 2023;

G.L. Ispas, D. Panc, Organization of justice in the European Union, Ed. Hamangiu, 2021;

I. Rebeca, European civil procedure, Ed. Hamangiu, 2023;

| 8.2 Seminar / laboratory/ project | Teaching-learning methods | Number of hours | Remarks |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 1. Documentation resources. General presentation | Alternating presentation with interactive method, group work, problem- based learning | 1 | |
| 2. Guide to writing projects | ldem | 1 | |
| 3. Historic rulings of the CJEU | Idem | 1 | |
| Case studies on refusal of national courts to refer preliminary questions | ldem | 1 | |
| 5. Problems in the practice of preliminary references made by various courts | Idem | 1 | |
| Proceedings before national courts after receiving the response from the CJEU. Case study | Idem | 1 | |
| 7. On the authority of the interpreted work of the CJEU judgments | ldem | 1 | |

| Case studies on the application of the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union in the decisions of domestic courts | ldem | 1 | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---|--|
| The right to an effective remedy and a fair trial in light of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union | ldem | 1 | |
| Relevant measures adopted at EU level in the field of judicial cooperation | Idem | 1 | |
| The principle of proportionality. The hypostases of proportionality in civil procedure | ldem | 1 | |
| 12. The relationship between the Court of Justice of the European Union and the ECHR. Interpretation of the ECHR by the two courts | ldem | 1 | |
| 13. The European Union's accession to the European Convention on Human Rights | Idem | 1 | |
| 14. Alternative means of dispute resolution. European experiences | Idem | 1 | |
| Dibliggerabie | | | |

Bibliography

CRAIG Paul, Preliminary references and the clear act: national courts, the Advocate General and the Court of Justice of the European Union, Revista Română de Drept European (Comunitar) 1 din 2023;

LENAERTS Koen, The Court of Justice of the European Union and judicial independence, Revista Română de Drept European (Comunitar) 1 din 2023;

GHIȚĂ Violeta Lavinia, PANC Daniela, Rejection of references for preliminary rulings by Romanian courts in recent case-law of the Court of Justice of the European Union, Pandectele Române 5 din 2023;

GROZA Ana Maria, The denial of justice in the context of the (non-)application of European Union law. An analysis of the Spasov v. Romania case or about the contribution of the European Court of Human Rights to the application of EU law, Revista Română de Drept European (Comunitar) 1 din 2023;

STĂNCIULESCU Andreea Ana Maria, The ultra vires doctrine: a dilemma between the principle of the supremacy of the Constitution and the principle of priority of European law. Weiss case, Revista Dreptul 1 din 2024;

DUȚU-BUZURA Andrei, Interpretations and implications of the concept of failure to fulfil Treaty obligations in the case-law of the Court of Justice of the European Union, Revista Dreptul 9 din 2020

9. Correlation of course content with the demands of the labour market (epistemic communities, professional associations, potential employers in the field of study)

By learning the theoretical concepts and approaching the practical aspects included in the discipline "PROCEDURAL INSTRUMENTS FOR THE PROTECTION OF RIGHTS IN THE EUROPEAN UNION", students acquire a consistent knowledge necessary for the deepening of specific notions, concepts and principles. The course respects the content offered by specialized programs in other European countries. The course exists in the curriculum of universities and faculties in Romania.

10. Evaluation

| Activity type | 10.1 Evaluation criteria | 10.2 Evaluation methods | 10.3 Percentage of the final grade |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10.4 Course | Correctness and completeness of knowledge | Oral assessment during the exam session | 80% |
| | Logical coherence, degree of assimilation of specialized language | | |

| 10.5 Seminar/ laboratory/ project | The ability to operate with the acquired knowledge | Oral assessment during the semester | 20% |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----|
| | The ability to apply in practice | | |
| 10.6 Minimal performance star | ndard | | |
| to prove minimal mastery of | of the subject; | | |
| the oral presentation must | not contain serious errors; | | |
| · minimal activity during the | semester. | | |

This course outline was certified in the Department Board meeting on 66,02,2025 and approved in the Faculty Board meeting on 66,02, 3025

| Associate Professor PhD Roxana MATEFI | Lecturer PhD Oana ŞARAMET |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Dean | Head of Department |
| Lecturer PhD Oana ŞARAMET | Lecturer PhD Georgeta Bianca SPIRCHEZ |
| Course holder | Holder of seminar/laboratory/project |

Note:

- Field of study select one of the following options: Bachelor / Master / Doctorat (to be filled in according to the forceful classification list for study programmes);
- ²⁰ Study level choose from among: Bachelor / Master / Doctorat;
- ³⁸ Course status (content) for the Bachelor level, select one of the following options: FC (fundamental course) / DC (course in the study domain)/ SC (speciality course)/ CC (complementary course); for the Master level, select one of the following options: PC (proficiency course)/ SC (synthesis course)/ AC (advanced course);
- ⁴⁰ Course status (attendance type) select one of the following options: CPC (compulsory course)/ EC (elective course)/ NCPC (non-compulsory course);
- 9 One credit is the equivalent of 25 study hours (teaching activities and individual study).